MATTHIAS REICHELT

"We have more or less said that we shit on everything" Boris Lurie and NO!art O1 MITHASFEICHT, video interview with Boris Lurie, April 2002, DVD III, 9:40 min. I also conducted short conversations with Gertrude Stein and Clayton Patterson. This material on eight sixty-minute MiniDVs (transferred to DVD) led to the idea for SHOAH and PIN-UPS: The NO!-Artist Boris Lurie, a documentary lurie, a documentary bottmer-Finke in collaboration with Matthias Reichelt, 88 min, Dolby Surround, de⊟mproduktion (Germany 2006). "The origins of NO!art sprout from the Jewish experience, struck root in the world's largest Jewish community in New York, a product of armies, concentration camps, Lumpenproletariat artists. Its targets are the hypocritical intelligentsia, capitalist culture manipulation, consumerism, American and other Molochs. Their aim: total unabashed self-expression in art leading to social involvement."

Boris Lurie was a cofounder and strong proponent of the NO!art movement, into which new artists continued to be incorporated. This text takes up various aspects of NO!art between 1959 and 1964/65, a phase that Lurie him self de Ined as "collective." ⁴ ⁰³ On the NO!art website that Dietmar Kirves initiated in Berlin in 2000 with Lurie's support, NO!art is represented by diverse and disparate young artistic positions, as was already the case with the earlier March Group. The sole common denominator is a more or less critical view of the art establishment, politics, and society. Since the author above all considers Lurie's personal experiences as a survivor of the Holocaust as a driving and style-forming stimulus in the development of NO!art in the late If ties and early sixties, he limits himself to the time period de-Ined by Lurie as a "collective phase."⁰⁴⁺

Writing about radical artists' movements and activities that were successfully ignored by the contemporary art world and the press well-disposed to it has always had the character of digging through a mountain of legends and myths banked up by the artists them selves and their sincere admirers. The distance of time offers the opportunity for a more realistic representation.

Even if NO!art achieved recognition and a modest reception among individual cultural historians in the United States, it ultimately fell between the cracks of an art market that was concentrating at the time on Abstract Expressionism, Neo-Dada, Fluxus, and in particular Pop art.

NO!art brought together various artistic directions, but was distinguished by a political stance that rejected the art establishment, the art market, museum policy, as well as the American Cold War policy, militarism, colonialism, and imperialism. This critique was manifest not only in works or art, but also in the powerfully eloquent statements made by individual artists.⁶⁵ This sealed the fate of NO!art. At the time of Boris Lurie's death, it was actually not possible to see the essential artists of the NO!art movement in a single major museum in the United States. It was Estera Milman who organized the two most important American exhibitions in which works by Boris Lurie were presented: for the University of Iowa in 1999, and at the Mary and Leigh Block Museum of Art at Northwestern University in Chicago in 2001.

The \Box rst half of the twentieth century spawned murderous catastrophes on an inconceivable scale, followed by a division of the world that resulted in new armed con \Box icts. Motivated by these disquieting phenomena, artistic

MATTHIAS REICHELT

BORSLUPIE' SEMOR KRIM APMIN HUDERIMARK (EDS) NOIAT: DIN-UPS, EXCREMENT, PRO-TEST, JEW ART, Berlin/Cologne: Edition Hundertmark, 1988, p. 13.

02

04 http://www.no-art.info

05 Texts by Lurie, Goodman, Aronovici, et al., in: Lurie/Krim/Hundertmark, NO!art, 1988.

(accessed 16 August

2015)

movements emerged here and there in the metropolises in the United States—mainly New York City and San Francisco—that directly and passionately positioned themselves in literature, music, and \Box ne art with respect to a reality riddled with political con \Box icts. What bebop and free jazz brought with them in terms of innovations for music, and the prose and poetry of the Beat generation for literature, was discharged in visual art in a demonstrative rejection of \Box gurative painting in favor of a rendering of true emotions, as they were expressed, for instance, in the Action Painting of Jackson Pollock. In terms of form, truly different art formats were subsumed under the term "Abstract Expressionism" and relatively quickly began their triumphal march through the museums of New York as a style perceived as being originally American.

The Beginnings

Boris Lurie was already painting, drawing, and occasionally providing graphic designs for a Soviet publishing house as a schoolboy in Riga.

After arriving in New York City, to which he immigrated along with his father after the end of the war, Lurie recorded his experiences from Riga, the ghetto, and the various concentration camps in drawings and paintings. He later called these works "illustrative art," and thus, according to his reading of art history, not classi□able as real art.⁰⁶

Lurie was the only NO!art artist who had survived several Nazi concentration camps. His one sister, Assia, escaped persecution by the Germans and Latvians in Italy. Their mother, Shaina, other sister Jeanna, their maternal grandmother, and Ljuba Treskunova, Boris Lurie's □rst great love, were murdered in 1941 during the so-called Big Action in the woods at Rumbula.⁰⁷

The time in the concentration camps, the fear of not being able to escape death, and the loss of people he loved stayed with Lurie and shaped his artistic work throughout his life. Repeatedly addressing these events was an existential need for him. For Lurie, the traumatic experience of losing nearly the entire female part of the family as well as his great love had a formative in Duence on his obsessive preoccupation with sexuality and the female body. This applies above all in the case of his *Dismembered Women*, which he painted in the Dfties.

The March Gallery

In the mid- If ties, Lurie settled in the then rundown neighborhood of the Lower East Sde, where there were a great deal of smaller cooperative galleries. One of them was the March Gallery on 10th Street, nearly at the corner of Third Avenue, which was located in a cellar that was accessible from the outside. At the time, it was considered one of New York's best and most vibrant cooperative galleries.⁴⁰⁸ Roughly thirty artists counted among its

08 ARTnews 57, no. 10, February 1959, p. 50.

MATTHIAS REICHELT

In the Letbartskii woods in the Rumbula Forest around ten kilometers south of Riga, 26,500 Jews from the ghetto as well as 1.000 "Reich Jews" who had just arrived by train were massacred and buried in mass graves. Andrej Angrick/ Peter Klein, Die "Endlösung" in Riga: Aus beutung und Vernichtung 1941-1944, Darmstadt: WBG, 2006, in particular Chapter 5: "Das grosse Morden: Die Vernichtung des Ghettos der lettischen Juden," pp. 136-84.

DETIMER FINKE, FEIGHEIT SHOAH and PIN-UPS, starting at time code 00:24:40. 09 Video inter view by Matthias Reichelt with Boris Lurie, DVD II, time code 00:49:58.

> 11 Ibid., time code 00:07:15.

> > 13 See

JOHN WRONOSKI Boris Lurie: A Life in the Camps," in: Igor Satanovsky, ed. KZ-KAMPF-KUNST. Boris Lurie: NO!art. New York/Cologne: NO!art Publishing, 2014, p. 139. In any case, Goodman was not in Europe during the war, nor was he a wa photographer, as Wronoski claims Harriet Wood, Goodman's companion at the time, con Irmed this in an email dated 25 August 2015.

1b The drawing was published in The New York Arts Calendar, ed. by Harvey Matusow. Matusow's estate is part of the library of the University of Sussex und the cartoon in question, according to the library, can be found in: The New York Arts Calendar, Vol. 1 No. 5, on the fourth page.

members, including the well-known Elaine de Kooning. Boris Lurie and his friend Rocco Armento were members from the outset. While most of the cooperative galleries dedicated themselves to Abstract Expressionism, artists of various styles came together at the March Gallery. Works by artists who were already well known, such as Franz Kline or Willem de Kooning, were also presented in group exhibitions, which meant that this venue also attracted attention from the art public.⁴⁰⁹ When the March Gallery was closed, Lurie and his Canadian artist friend Sam Goodman took over the space and from then on called themselves the March Group.¹⁰⁺ The artist Stanley Fisher joined them a short time later. Goodman himself was an Abstract Expressionist, but under the in Duence of Boris Lurie's multimedia tableaus of collaged pin-ups, newspaper headlines, and painting he changed his style and produced sculptures and installations made of objets trouvés and scrap metal. 411 Goodman had worked in a Im department of the Canadian Army, where he had seen documentary material about the atrocities committed by the German fascists. Lurie received copies of photos from him 12 and was emboldened "to confront the matter of his past, and of its relevance, head-on." ◀ ¹³

NO!

Lurie made NO an integral part of various works as early as the beginning of the sixties, and dealt with the motif of fragmented women's bodies during the Ofties. NO! appeared for the Orst time in an announcement for an exhibition at the Gertrude Stein Gallery in 1963. According to Lurie, the fact that the group later operated under the name NOIart goes back to a cartoon that the painter Alfred Leslie supposedly produced for ARTnews in which the March Gallery was depicted as a place for artists who hurl their de □ant NO back at the state of the world.14 Alfred Leslie himself has no memory of this particular cartoon. His work was destroyed in a large Dre in 1966. The cartoon is not included in the New York Story 1962-66,15 nor can it be found in ARTnews. Lurie's memory here seems to deceive. In a different cartoon by Alfred Leslie, however, in the left corner, Boris Lurie's name is featured directly next to the license plate of a convertible, NO-1965, with a newlywed couple representing the Hudson River Art and Pop art movements | see image p. 158. The sheet stands under the motto "OK-1964" and makes reference to Lurie's negative view of the success of Pop art. ${}^{\P}{}^{16}$

Sam Goodman, Boris Lurie, and Stanley Fisher were the founders of the March Group/NOlart in late 1959, early 1960. Numerous artists participated in several programmatic exhibitions, including Rocco Armento, Isser Aronovici, Enrico Baj, Herb Brown, Allan D'Arcangelo, Erró, Dorothy Gillespie, Esther Gilman, Allan Kaprow, Yayoi Kusama, Jean-Jacques Lebel, Suzanne Long (HarMLMAN 2000, time code 00:37:20.

Ibid., time code 00:12:30. Later, in the seventies, Charly Rehwinkel and his encyclopedic knowledge were extremely helpful to Lurie in with his engagement with the National Socialists and the Holocaust. See also Dettmer-Fink, Reichelt, SHOAH and PIN-UPS, time code 00:07:36.

12

14 MLMAN 2000, time code 00:35:35. 15 http://www.alfredleslie. com/books/index. html?newyork_story (accessed 11 August 2015). The motif is also not among the cartoons from the New York Story reproduced in Artforum 2, no. 3, September 1963, pp. 28f.

Boris Lurie and NO!art

riet Wood), Michelle Stuart, and Aldo Tambellini. NO!art's exhibitions pointedly addressed repression, war, genocide, imperialism, and consumerism, and the cellar space of the March Gallery was turned into walk-in installations that ran contrary to the digni ded atmosphere of the white cube. Like



the March Gallery before it, NO!art was also not committed to any particular style. It encompassed Armento's nudes in Duenced by classical sculpture as well as the paintings by D'Arcangelo with their Pop art orientation, or the comic- and agitprop-like paintings by the Icelandic artist Erró, Stuart's feminist-oriented sculptural works, the paintings and sculptures of Long, and Kusama's installations with accumulations of penis-like objects.⁴¹⁷

Later, Allan Kaprow and Yayoi Kusama would or could no longer remember participating, and the latter has even eliminated the NO! exhibitions from her CV.

17

The most important exhibitions of the new March Group era included *Les Lions* (1960), a solo-show of Boris Lurie's work, and *Vulgar Show* (1960), featuring works by Goodman, John Fischer, Lurie, and Stanley Fisher; these were followed that same year by the largest group exhibition, *Involvement Show*, with works by twenty-six artists. *Doom Show* was the title of the exhibition in 1961 with works by Stanley Fisher, Goodman, Lurie, and Lebel; Lurie organized another *Doom Show* in Milan and Rome in 1962 with his own works and works by Goodman. The \Box rst group exhibition at the Gertrude Stein Gallery took place in 1963 with *NO!Show*, with eleven artists participating. In 1964 the Gertrude Stein Gallery mounted a solo exhibition of the series of posters that Boris Lurie had overprinted with NO as well as solo presentations of the artists Erró and Brown.

MATTHIAS REICHELT

The end of the collective phase of NO!art was sealed with the *NO!Sculp-ture Show*, a solo exhibition of works by Goodman. Distributed around the space were multiple unshapely brown piles made of plaster and papier-mâché, representing excrement in monstrous dimensions. An angry fare-well to the art world, which—in keeping with the market—was busy organizing the triumphal march of Pop art.

Sam Goodman saw this exhibition as "my □nal gesture after thirty years in the art world. This is what I think of it."¹⁸ The fact that the insurance agent and art collector Leon Kraushaar nevertheless wanted to purchase the piles of stylized "shit" is the irony of the story. Goodman thwarted the sale with the words "I shit on you too." ⁴

MLMAN 2000, time code 01:05:35.

NO!art still receives relatively little attention, since it was marginalized for a long time due to its trash aesthetic combined with direct political critique. This applies above all to the works of Boris Lurie and Sam Goodman, who also remembered the European Jews murdered in the name of the German fascism in their works and presented this memory within a larger political context.

Despite a certain skepticism regarding NOIart at its beginnings, the art critic Irving Sandler comes to a notable verdict in his memoir of 2003: "In retrospect, however, NOIart was ahead of its time. It anticipated later perverse and abject art that re Dected our miserable twentieth century, and particularly the Vietnam War era."²⁰

When his father died in 1964, Boris Lurie looked after his estate and began to speculate successfully on the stock exchange. He later resumed making art again, and also began to write prose and poetry. Although Lurie had absolutely no feeling for luxury and lived surrounded by furniture gathered from the streets, he amassed great wealth without losing his interest in the revolutionary international left. He put this lived contradiction in a nutshell with self-ironic realism: "My sympathy is with the mouse, but I feed the cat."

This statement can still be read in the stairway of the Haus am Kleistpark in Berlin as a tribute to Boris Lurie.²¹

18 LUFIE/ KFIM/ HUNDERIMARK NO!art, 1988, p. 15.

IRMNGSANDLER A Sweeper-Up After Artists: A Memoir, London: Thames and Hudson, 2004, p.273–74.

21 This statement was installed in May 2004 in the staircase of the Haus am Kleistpark in Berlin-Schöneberg within the scope of the exhibition by Naomi Tereza Salmon: optimistic I disease I facility. Boris Lurie: New York—Buchenwald.

Boris Lurie and NO!art